

Radical Based Strategy toward the Synthesis of 2,3-Dihydrofurans from Aryl Ketones and Aromatic Olefins

Togati Naveen, Rajesh Kancherla, and Debabrata Maiti*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400076, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A copper-mediated annulation of aryl ketones with a wide range of aromatic olefins has been developed. This strategy allowed convenient access to 2,3-dihydrofuran derivatives. The versatility of the protocol is shown by synthesizing α -methyl dihydrofurans, which serve as an intermediate for the synthesis of vitamin B1. In addition, the applicability of the protocol in conjugated systems is demonstrated. A



radical pathway was presumed and supported for annulation of aryl ketones with olefins.

A mong the five-membered heterocycle derivatives, 2,3dihydrofuran is one of the most important motifs present in a number of pharmaceuticals (e.g., aflatoxin B1, clerodin, etc.)¹ and natural products (e.g., azadirachtin, austocystin A, etc.).¹ The 2,3-dihydrofurans are also extremely useful synthetic intermediates in the preparation of highly functionalized tetrahydrofurans with good stereoselectivity.² These scaffolds are used in 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions to synthesize heterocyclic compounds with different ring size and atoms.³ Given the importance of 2,3-dihydrofurans in natural and synthetic substances, the development of a selective and straightforward intermolecular approach to construct polysubstituted dihydrofurans from simple and economical chemical reagents is still in great demand.^{4–6}

Alkenes have been recognized as important starting materials in synthetic chemistry because of their low cost and ready availability. Following our recent efforts to utilize alkene for generating value-added compounds,⁷ we envisioned that these moieties can be employed for the synthesis of 2,3-dihydrofurans through carbon–carbon and carbon–oxygen bond formation. In this context, Fukuzawa reported the synthesis of 2,3dihydrofurans starting from 2,2-dibromo-1,2-diphenylethanone and a styrene as the annulation partners (Scheme 1).⁸ Although





use of dibromo starting material limited the utility of this method,⁸ it provided us the inspiration to synthesize 2,3-dihydrofuran from a simple ketone and an olefin. We envisioned that a radical intermediate generated at the α -position of the ketone will attack the styrene and subsequent cyclization will lead to substituted 2,3-dihydrofuran (*vide infra*).

Our initial efforts were focused on the reaction of deoxybenzoin with styrene by using $Cu(OAc)_2$. Upon extensive

optimization (Table 1), 9 the expected dihydrofuran product 3a was obtained in 85% (GC) yield (isolated, 83%) after stirring at

Table 1. Reaction Optimization

| Ph | Ph + Ph | Cu(OAc) ₂ .H ₂ O (0.25 mr | nol) Ph | Ph + | Ph |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| (0.75 mmc 1 | ol) (0.25 mmol) 2a | DCE (1 mL), 110 °C, 24 | th Ph ⁰ | Ph | B |
| entry | change of the | standard conditions | A:B ^a | GC yield of | A (%) |
| 1 | standard c | onditions | 10:1 | 85 | |
| 2 | CuCl | | 7:1 | 48 | |
| 3 | CuOAc | | 9:1 | 70 | |
| 4 | CuBr | | 8:1 | 19 | |
| 5 | $CuF_2 \cdot H_2O$ | | 7:1 | 34 | |
| 6 | 0.1 mmol o | of Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O | 3:1 | 40 | |
| 7 | 0.2 mmol o | of Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O | 5:1 | 70 | |
| 8 | 0.3 mmol o | of Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O | 6:1 | 75 | |
| 9 | 0.25 mmol | of ketone | 6:1 | 40 | |
| 10 | 0.5 mmol o | of ketone | 7:1 | 52 | |
| 11 | 1.0 mmol of ketone | | 8:1 | 61 | |
| 12 | 0.5 mmol o | of styrene | 8:1 | 63 | |
| 13 | DMSO | | 2:1 | 6 | |
| 14 | CH ₃ CN | | 3:1 | 35 | |
| 15 | benzene | | 2:1 | 25 | |
| 16 | 1,4-dioxane | | 1:1 | 8 | |
| 17 | no copper | salts | - | 0 | |
| 7001 | | 1 (() | 1 | | (D) . |

^aThe ratio of 2,3-dihydrofuran (A) and its respective furan (B) is measured based on GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

110 °C for 24 h using dichloroethane (DCE) as the solvent. No desired product was obtained in the absence of $Cu(OAc)_2$ (Table 1, entry 17). Attempts to reduce the reaction time resulted in lower yields.⁹

Under the optimized reaction conditions, various styrenes were tested to access the expected dihydrofuran products

Received: September 11, 2014 Published: October 2, 2014

(Scheme 2). Both electron-withdrawing and -donating styrenes were successfully employed, and it is noteworthy that steric



^{*a*}Isolated yields of **3**. The ratio of 2,3-dihydrofuran (**3**) and respective furan is measured based on GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (see Table 1).

effects had little influence on this reaction. Regardless of the substitution pattern of styrenes (*ortho, meta,* or *para*) used in the reaction, expected dihydrofuran products were obtained. Several important functional groups such as $-NO_2$ (3g), -CHO (3h), $-OCOCH_3$ (3i), $-COOCH_3$ (3j), and halogens (-F, -Cl, and -Br, 3k-m) were tolerated under the current reaction conditions, which allow high diversity in the synthesis of functionalized dihydrofurans. It was also found that substituted styrenes with electron-donating groups such as methyl (3b) and *tert*-butyl (3d) delivered better yields than those obtained with electron-withdrawing groups (3g-j).

Next, we tested propiophenone with styrenes (Scheme 3) bearing halogens (-Cl, -Br, **5b** and **5c**) and electronwithdrawing substituents ($-OCOCH_3$, -CHO, **5d** and **5e**). All of them gave the corresponding dihydrofurans in moderate to good yields. Unfortunately, dialkyl ketones did not give the desired product under the standard reaction conditions.





^{*a*}Isolated yields of **5**. The ratio of 2,3-dihydrofuran (**5**) and respective furan is measured based on GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (see Table 1).

To further explore the substrate scope, different aryl ketones were investigated (Scheme 4). Deoxybenzoin bearing substituents such as methoxy (6a), chloro (6b-d), and bromo (6e) delivered the corresponding dihydrofurans (7a-e) in preparatively useful yields.





^{*a*}Isolated yields of 7. The ratio of 2,3-dihydrofuran (7) and respective furan is measured based on GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (see Table 1).

Since α -methyl dihydrofuran serves as an intermediate for the synthesis of vitamin B₁,¹⁰ we thought to synthesize such scaffolds following this method. Interestingly, the present method can be extended to synthesize α -methyl dihydrofurans (**9b**-**d**) from α -methylstyrene (Scheme 5). In the case of (*Z*)- β -methylstyrene (**8a**), only the *trans*-isomer of the dihydrofuran (**9a**) was obtained.

Scheme 5. Scope with α - and β -Methyl Styrenes^{*a*}



"Isolated yields of **9**. The ratio of 2,3-dihydrofuran (**9a**) and respective furan is measured based on GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (see Table 1).

Replacing the styrene with a cyclic olefin such as indene (10) also afforded the dihydrofurans (11a-c) in moderate to good yields (Scheme 6). These dihydrofuran-fused cycloalkanes are important for the synthesis of biologically active natural products, such as aflatoxin, asteltoxin, etc.¹¹ Different aryl ketones with methoxy (6b) and chloro (6c) substituents were also well tolerated under the current reaction conditions.

Furthermore, we thought to replace the styrene with (1E,3E)-1,4-diphenylbuta-1,3-diene (12) under the optimized reaction conditions (Scheme 7). Formation of 2-styryl-2,3-dihydrofurans (13a-d) demonstrated the applicability of the protocol in conjugated systems.

The addition of 2 equiv of DTBP (di-*tert*-butylperoxide) under the standard conditions resulted in a negligible amount



^aIsolated yields of **11**. The ratio of 2,3-dihydrofuran (**11**) and respective furan is measured based on GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (see Table 1).

Scheme 7. Scope with Conjugated Olefin^a



^{*a*}Isolated yields of **13**. The ratio of 2,3-dihydrofuran (**13**) and respective furan is measured based on GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (see Table 1).

of desired product formation (Scheme 8). This experiment is likely supporting a radical pathway to be operative in the

Scheme 8. Radical Scavenger Experiment



present case. A radical based mechanism has been outlined in Scheme 9. Formation of carbon centered radical **A** is proposed from aryl ketone via single electron transfer (SET). The Cu(I) formed in the reaction is likely to be oxidized to Cu(II) via Cu(I)/O₂ interaction.¹² Addition of **A** to the β -position of the

Scheme 9. Plausible Mechanism



styrene will lead to **B**. The subsequent single electron transfer and intramolecular cyclization will produce **D**, which will form

2,3-dihydrofuran upon deprotonation. In summary, we have demonstrated a copper-mediated annulation of aryl ketones and aromatic olefins. This reaction provides a novel synthetic route to 2,3,5-tri-, 2,3,5,5'-tetra-, and

provides a novel synthetic route to 2,3,5-tri-, 2,3,5,5'-tetra-, and 2,3,4,5-tetrasubstituted dihydrofurans from readily available starting materials.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dmaiti@chem.iitb.ac.in.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This activity is supported by SERB, India (SB/S5/GC- 05/ 2013). Financial support received from CSIR-India (fellowships to T.N.) and DST Fast Track Scheme (R.K.) is gratefully acknowledged

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Dean, F. M.; Katritzky, A. R. Recent Advances in Furan Chemistry. Part I. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. **1982**, 30, 167. (b) Fraga, B. M. Nat. Prod. Rep. **1992**, 9, 217. (c) Merritt, A. T.; Ley, S. V. Nat. Prod. Rep. **1992**, 9, 243. (d) Kilroy, T. G.; O'Sullivan, T. P.; Guiry, P. J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, 23, 4929.

(2) (a) Ishitani, H.; Achiwa, K. Heterocycles 1997, 46, 153. (b) Faul, M. M.; Huff, B. E. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2407. (c) Elliott, M. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2002, 21, 2301. (d) Hou, X.-L.; Yang, Z.; Yeung, K.-S.; Wong, H. N. C. Prog. Heterocycl. Chem. 2005, 17, 142. (e) Muller, P.; Bernardinelli, G.; Allenbach, Y. F.; Ferri, M.; Grass, S. Synlett 2005, 9, 1397.

(3) (a) Alonso, M. E.; Jano, P.; Hernandez, M. I.; Greenberg, R. S.; Wenkert, E. J. Org. Chem. **1983**, 48, 3047. (b) Bekhradnia, A.; Arshadi, S.; Siadati, S. Chemical Papers **2013**, 68, 283. (c) Peng, W.; Zhu, S. J. J. Fluorine Chem. **2002**, 116, 81. (d) Müller, P.; Chappellet, S. Helv. Chim. Acta **2005**, 88, 1010.

(4) For synthesis of 2,3-dihydrofurans from 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds and styrenes, see: (a) Ichikawa, K.; Uemura, S. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 493. (b) Yoshida, J.; Yano, S.; Ozawa, T.; Kawabata, N. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3467. (c) Tategami, S.; Yamada, T.; Nishino, H.; Korp, J. D.; Kurosawa, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6371. (d) Iqbal, J.; Bhatia, B.; Nayyar, N. K. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 6457. (e) Baciocchi, E.; Ruzziconi, R. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4772. (f) Roy, S. C.; Mandal, P. K. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 2193. (g) Antonioletti, R.; Righi, G.; Oliveri, L.; Bovicelli, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 10127. (h) Lee, Y. R.; Kim, B. S.; Kim, D. H. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 8845. (i) Garzino, F.; Meou, A.; Brun, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 9803. (j) Antonioletti, R.; Bovicelli, P.; Malancona, S. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 589. (k) Zhang, Y.; Raines, A. J.; Flowers, R. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2363. (l) Wang, G.-W.; Dong, Y.-W.; Wu, P.; Yuan, T.-T.; Shen, Y.-B. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7088.

(5) Other approaches to synthesize dihydrofurans, see: (a) McDonald, F. E.; Connolly, C. B.; Gleason, M. M.; Towne, T. B.; Treiber, K. D. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 6952. (b) Alonso, I.; Carretero, J. C.; Garrido, J. L.; Magro, V.; Pedregal, C. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5682. (c) Garrido, J. L.; Alonso, I.; Carretero, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9406. (d) Yadav, V. K.; Balamurugan, R. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2717.

Organic Letters

(e) Wang, Y.; Zhu, S. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 3383. (f) Schmidt, B. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 2003, 816. (g) Xing, C.; Zhu, S. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6486. (h) Bernard, A. M.; Frongia, A.; Piras, P. P.; Secci, F.; Spiga, M. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4565. (i) Qian, J.; Cao, W.; Zhang, H.; Chen, J.; Zhu, S. J. Fluorine Chem. 2007, 128, 207. (j) Ma, S.; Zheng, Z.; Jiang, X. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 529. (k) Zhang, R.; Liang, Y.; Zhou, G.; Wang, K.; Dong, D. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8089. (l) Shen, R.; Zhu, S.; Huang, X. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 4118. (m) Li, M.; Lin, S.; Dong, Z.; Zhang, X.; Liang, F.; Zhang, J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3978. (n) Chagarovsky, A. O.; Budynina, E. M.; Ivanova, O. A.; Villemson, E. V.; Rybakov, V. B.; Trushkov, I. V.; Melnikov, M. Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2830. (o) Nakano, T.; Miyazaki, K.; Kamimura, A. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8103.

(6) For enantioselective synthesis of dihydrofurans, see: (a) Davies, H. M.; Ahmed, G.; Calvo, R. L.; Churchill, M. R.; Churchill, D. G. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 2641. (b) Evans, D. A.; Sweeney, Z. K.; Rovis, T.; Tedrow, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 12095. (c) Calo, V.; Scordari, F.; Nacci, A.; Schingaro, E.; D'Accolti, L.; Monopoli, A. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, 68, 4406. (d) Bowman, R. K.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. **2006**, 8, 573. (e) Son, S.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, 129, 1046. (f) Fan, L.-P.; Li, P.; Li, X.-S.; Xu, D.-C.; Ge, M.-M.; Zhu, W.-D.; Xie, J.-W. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 8716. (g) Rueping, M.; Parra, A.; Uria, U.; Besselievre, F.; Merino, E. Org. Lett. **2010**, 12, 5680. (h) Zhu, F.-L.; Wang, Y.-H.; Zhang, D.-Y.; Xu, J.; Hu, X.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 10223.

(7) (a) Deb, A.; Bag, S.; Kancherla, R.; Maiti, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 13602. (b) Sharma, U.; Kancherla, R.; Naveen, T.; Agasti, S.; Maiti, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201406284. (c) Maity, S.; Manna, S.; Rana, S.; Naveen, T.; Mallick, A.; Maiti, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 3355. (d) Deb, A.; Manna, S.; Modak, A.; Patra, T.; Maity, S.; Maiti, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 9747. (e) Sharma, U.; Naveen, T.; Maji, A.; Manna, S.; Maiti, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 9747. (e) Sharma, U.; Naveen, T.; Maji, A.; Manna, S.; Maiti, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 12669. (f) Maity, S.; Naveen, T.; Sharma, U.; Maiti, D. Org. Lett. **2013**, 15, 3384. (g) Naveen, T.; Maity, S.; Sharma, U.; Maiti, D. J. Org. Chem. **2013**, 78, 5949.

(8) Fukuzawa, S.; Fujinami, T.; Sakai, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 919.

(9) See Supporting Information for detailed description.

(10) Rubtsov, I. A.; Shapira, B. I. Pharm. Chem. J. 1970, 4, 172.

(11) (a) Corey, E. J.; Cheng, X.-M. The Logic of Chemical Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1989. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Soren-sen, E. J. Classics in Total Synthesis; VCH: Weinheim, 1996.

(12) (a) Modak, A.; Dutta, U.; Kancherla, R.; Maity, S.; Bhadra, M.; Mobin, S. M.; Maiti, D. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2602. (b) Maiti, D.; Fry, H. C.; Woertink, J. S.; Vance, M. A.; Solomon, E. I.; Karlin, K. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 264. (c) Maiti, D.; Lee, D. H.; Gaoutchenova, K.; Wurtele, C.; Holthausen, M. C.; Sarjeant, A. A. N.; Sundermeyer, J.; Schindler, S.; Karlin, K. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 82.